REPORTS ON AUDITS OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017
AND FEDERAL GRANT COMPLIANCE
For the year ended December 31, 2018
(As required by the Single Audit Act and OMB Uniform Guidance)

EIN: 99-0083825

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Financial Statements and Related Notes for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 Statements of Financial Position Statements of Activities Statements of Cash Flows Notes to Financial Statements	4-5 6
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended December 31, 2018	26
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	27
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	28-29
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular Uniform Guidance	30-31
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	32-33
Noncompliance with Verification Requirements	34
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	35
Management Views and Corrective Action Plans	36-38



Report of Independent Auditors

To the Board of Trustees of Brigham Young University - Hawaii:

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Brigham Young University – Hawaii ("the School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the School's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Brigham Young University – Hawaii as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended December 31, 2018 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and is not a required part of the financial statements. The information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated April 30, 2019 on our consideration of the School's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters for the year ended December 31, 2018. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the School's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

May 2, 2019

Pricenstathous Copers UP

STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

December 31, 2018 and 2017

(in thousands of dollars)

	2018	2017
Assets Cash	\$ 22,457	\$ 19.964
	\$ 22,457 10,147	\$ 19,964 11,154
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowances of \$490 and \$200 Inventories, prepaid expenses and other assets	1,909	3,253
Investments	245,578	245,570
Beneficial interest in trusts	4,424	4,142
Land, buildings and equipment, net	276,609	254,327
Land, buildings and equipment, net	270,009	234,327
Total Assets	\$ 561,124	\$ 538,410
Liabilities and Net Assets		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and deposits	\$ 10,318	\$ 8,334
Deferred revenue	358	384
Annuities payable	1,259	1,338
Retirement and postretirement benefits obligation	21,702	27,407
Loans and notes payable	142,169	131 701
Total Liabilities	175,806	169 164
Net Assets		,
Without donor restrictions		
Operations	38,103	31,139
Board-designated for specific purposes	88,996	87,704
Invested in land, buildings and equipment	134,439	122,626
Total without donor restrictions	261,538	241,469
With donor restrictions		
Operations	71,298	79,451
Beneficial interest in trusts	4,424	4,142
Endowment funds	48,058	44,184
Total with donor restrictions	123,780	127,777
Total Net Assets	385,318	369.246
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 561,124	\$ 538,410

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended December 31, 2018

(in thousands of dollars)

	6.000.6.00	out Donor trictions	th Donor		Total
Revenues and Other Support					
Tuition and fees	\$	20,624		\$	20,624
Less scholarships and grants		9,844	 	,	9,844
Net tuition and fees		10,780			10,780
Appropriations from a Church affiliate		81,233			81,233
Contributions and grants		5,553	\$ 13,241		18,794
Investment loss, net		(3,234)	(3,668)		(6,902)
Auxiliary sales, net of student aid of \$2,852		24,017			24,017
Other		945			945
Net assets released from restrictions		13,570	(13,570)		2
Total Revenues and Other Support		132,864	(3,997)		128,867
Expenses					
Education		53,608			53,608
Administration		33,347			33,347
Auxiliary		31,954			31,954
Total Expenses		118,909			118,909
Increase (decrease) in Net Assets before Retirement and Postretirement Plans Adjustment		13,955	(3,997)		9,958
Retirement and Postretirement Plans Adjustment		6,114		_	6,114
Increase (decrease) in Net Assets		20,069	(3,997)		16,072
Net Assets Beginning of Year		241,469	127,777	~	369,246
Net Assets End of Year	\$	261,538	\$ 123,780	\$	385,318

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

(in thousands of dollars)

	Without Donor Restrictions	With Donor Restrictions	Total
Revenues and Other Support			
Tuition and fees	\$ 17,701		\$ 17,701
Less scholarships and grants	8,599		8,599
Net tuition and fees	9,102		9,102
Appropriations from a Church affiliate	101,651		101,651
Contributions and grants	5,262	\$ 9,830	15,092
Investment gain, net	17,234	16,142	33,376
Auxiliary sales, net of student aid of \$3,247	19,549		19,549
Other	497		497
Net assets released from restrictions	3,624	(3,624)	
Total Revenues and Other Support	156,919	22,348	179,267
Expenses			
Education	47,373		47,373
Administration	34,171		34,171
Auxiliary	27,150		27,150
Total Expenses	108,694	-	108,694
Increase in Net Assets before Retirement and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	48,225	22,348	70,573
Retirement and Postretirement Plans Adjustment	(2,300)	=	(2,300)
Increase in Net Assets	45,925	22,348	68,273
Net Assets Beginning of Year	195,544	105,429	300,973
Net Assets End of Year	\$ 241,469	\$ 127,777	\$ 369,246

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

(in thousands of dollars)

	2018		2017	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities			•	40.000
Increase in net assets	\$	16,072	\$	68,273
Adjustments to reconcile increase in net assets to net				
cash provided by operating activities		10.065		10.504
Depreciation		10,965		10,594
(Gain) loss on investments		12,266		(28,912)
Decrease (increase) in beneficial interest in trusts		(282)		1,852
Gain on disposal of land, buildings and equipment		(656)		(143)
Contributions restricted for investment in donor-restricted net assets		(3,874)		(3,090)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		1 005		(1.2(1)
Accounts receivable		1,007		(1,364)
Inventories, prepaid expenses and other assets		1,344		1,461
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and deposits		2,275		(12,173)
Deferred revenue		(26)		(5,041)
Retirement and postretirement benefits obligation		(5,705)		232
Annuities payable	-	(79)	-	221
Net cash provided by operating activities	_	33,307		31,910
Cash Flows from Investing Activities				
Proceeds from sale of investments		20,775		11,950
Purchase of investments		(33,049)		(18,320)
Proceeds from disposal of land, buildings and equipment		20		235
Purchase of land, buildings and equipment	-	(32,902)	-	(48,262)
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(45,156)		(54,397)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities				
Contributions restricted for investment in donor-restricted endowments		3,874		3,090
Proceeds from loans and notes payable		11,501		23.799
Payments on loans and notes payable	6	(1,033)	-	(761)
Net cash provided by financing activities	_	14,342		26,128
Net increase in cash		2,493		3.641
Cash, beginning of year		19,964		16,323
Cash, end of year	\$	22,457	\$	19,964
Supplemental Data				
Interest paid	\$	1,193	\$	989
Change in purchases of land, buildings and equipment in accounts payable		291		(287)

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY – HAWAII NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Brigham Young University – Hawaii (the University), located in Laie, Hawaii, is a non-profit corporation affiliated with The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (the Church).

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the University have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

University net assets are classified into two categories: without donor restrictions, and with donor restrictions, based on the absence or existence of donor-imposed time or purpose restrictions upon resources provided to the University. When donor restrictions are satisfied, net assets with donor restrictions are reclassified to net assets without donor restrictions, and are reported in the Statement of Activities as net assets released from restrictions. However, if a restriction is fulfilled in the same period in which the contribution is received, the University reports the contribution as without donor restrictions.

Cash

Cash includes cash on hand, cash in depository institutions and cash in an interest bearing cash management account maintained with a Church affiliate (Note 7) that is available on demand and is not covered by federal depository insurance.

Inventories

Inventories include retail store inventory stated at the lower of cost (retail inventory method) or market and materials and supplies stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

Investments

Investments with readily determinable fair values are carried at fair value. Investments that have no readily determinable fair value are carried at either original cost or estimated value at the date of donation.

Beneficial Interest in Trusts

The University is named as a beneficiary of certain irrevocable trusts. Revenues and assets included in the financial statements are based on the net present value of expected proceeds from those trusts.

Land, Buildings and Equipment

Land, buildings and equipment are stated at acquisition cost or at estimated fair value at the time of donation. Gifts of long-lived assets are treated as support without donor restrictions unless explicit donor restrictions specify otherwise. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method and the following useful lives:

10 to 50 years
5 to 25 years
3 to 10 years
25 years

Collections

The University maintains several collections, which are not reported for financial statement purposes. These collections include works of art, natural history (living and artifacts) and other similar objects. Collections are held for public exhibition, education and research in furtherance of the University's goal to provide public service. Proceeds from the sale of collection items are held and used to acquire other collection items that are expensed at the time of purchase. Various University departments have the responsibility to control, preserve and protect these collections.

Annuities Payable

Annuities payable represent the University's estimated annuity obligation to certain donors and their designees under contractual gift agreements that are maintained by a Church affiliate (Note 7). No significant financial benefit is being or can be realized by the University until the contractual obligations are released. The University uses the actuarial method of recording annuities when received. Under this method, when a gift is received, the present value of the aggregate annuity obligation is recorded as a liability, based upon life expectancy tables. The remainder is recorded as a contribution on the Statement of Activities. Annually, the University makes an adjustment to record the actuarial gain or loss due to re-computation of the liability based upon the revised life expectancy.

Revenue Recognition

Appropriations from a Church affiliate (Note 7) are recorded as revenue when received or as costs are incurred for Church affiliate-funded projects. Tuition, student fees, auxiliary sales and other revenues are recognized as income in the period earned. Amounts received but not yet earned are recorded as deferred revenue.

Contributions are recorded at fair value at the time gifts are received. Unconditional promises to give to the University are recognized when the promise is formally acknowledged. Conditional promises to give to the University are recognized when the conditions on which they depend are substantially met.

Fair Value Measurements

Certain financial instruments and retirement and postretirement plan assets are carried at fair value, as discussed in Notes 9 and 10, respectively.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is based on assumptions that market participants would use, including a consideration of non-performance risk.

In determining fair value, the University uses various valuation techniques and prioritizes the use of observable inputs. The availability of observable inputs varies from instrument to instrument and depends on a variety of factors, including the type of instrument, whether the instrument is actively traded, and other characteristics particular to the transaction. Equity and fixed income portfolio investments are valued at fair value, based upon the underlying investments, using the net asset values provided by a Church affiliate (Note 7). Equity securities are valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the securities are traded. Fixed income securities are generally valued using pricing models maximizing the use of observable inputs. Real estate and limited partnership investments are valued at fair value, based upon the underlying investments, using either the published net asset value per unit or the net asset values provided by the general partners, updated as necessary using analytical tools to benchmark returns, appraisals, public market data and/or inquiry of the general partners.

The University assesses the inputs used to measure fair value using a three-tier hierarchy based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value are observable in the market. Level 1 inputs include quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and observable inputs such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, commodity rates, and yield curves. Level 3 inputs are not observable in the market and include management's judgments about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Transfers between levels occur when there is a change in the observability of significant inputs. This may occur between Level 1 and Level 2 when the availability of quoted prices changes, or when market activity significantly changes to active or inactive. A transfer between Level 2 and Level 3 generally occurs when the underlying inputs become, or can no longer be, corroborated with observable market data. The University's policy is to recognize all transfers at the end of each reporting period.

Accounting for Retirement and Postretirement Plans

The University recognizes the over/under funded status of retirement and postretirement plans in the Statement of Financial Position. For retirement plans, funding status is measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligation. For postretirement plans, funding status is measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation. The University also recognizes all previously unrecognized actuarial gains and losses, prior service cost, and transition liability in net assets without donor restrictions and provides all required additional disclosure.

Income Tax Status

The University is exempt from federal and state income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes is made in the financial statements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

New Accounting Pronouncement

In August 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2016-14, *Presentation of Financial Statements for Not-for-Profit Entities*. ASU 2016-14 modifies net asset reporting and requires additional disclosures. The standard requires the University to reclassify its net assets (formerly unrestricted, temporarily restricted, and permanently restricted) into two categories; net assets without donor restrictions and net assets with donor restrictions.

The standard requires the University to change the net asset classification (from net assets with donor restrictions to net assets without donor restriction) when capital assets funded by capital gifts for construction are placed in service, rather than when the donations are spent.

The standard also requires recognition of underwater endowment funds to be accounted for as a reduction of net assets with donor restrictions.

The University applied the changes on its financial statements retrospectively. The standard also requires new disclosures on liquidity and availability of funds (Note 2), and disclosures related to expenses by both their functional and natural classifications (Note 11), which the University elected to apply prospectively.

In adopting this standard, certain prior year amounts were reclassified to conform to the new presentation. The following table presents the effect on the University's previously reported net asset balances at December 31, 2017 (in thousands of dollars):

	ASU 2016-14 Classifications					
2017 Net Assets Classifications	Without donor restrictions		5.0	ith donor strictions	7	Γotal net assets
As previously presented:						
Unrestricted	\$	241,469			\$	241,469
Temporarily restricted		(K	\$	81,915		81,915
Permanently restricted		7-		45,862		45,862
Net assets as previously presented	\$	241,469	\$	127,777	\$	369,246

In addition, the previously reported statement of activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 presented Instruction and academic support and Student support separately. The University now reports them as one functional expense, Education services.

(2) LIQUIDITY AND AVAILABILITY OF FINANCIAL ASSETS

The University's liquidity needs are met from the following sources: tuition and fees, monthly Church appropriations for operations, funding for Church-funded capital projects, and donations made to the University through a Church affiliate (Note 7). In order to meet additional liquidity needs, the University may redeem investments from its investment portfolios on a monthly basis with a minimum notice period of five business days. Excess cash from daily operational needs is managed in cash accounts on deposit with a Church affiliate. The investment portfolios are invested with diversification as designated by the University's Investment Committee. The following reflects the University's financial assets as of the balance sheet date, reduced by amounts not available for general use within one year of the balance sheet date because of contractual or donor-imposed restrictions, or internal designations. The following table presents the availability of financial assets as of December 31, 2018 (in thousands of dollars):

	2018
Cash	\$ 22,457
Accounts and notes receivable, net	10,147
Investments	245,578
Beneficial interest in trusts	4,424
Total financial assets	282,606
Less those unavailable for general expenditure within one year:	
Endowment funds	48,058
Beneficial interest in trusts	4,424
Other investments	13,560
Financial assets available to meet cash needs for general expenditures within one year	\$ 216,564

(3) INVESTMENTS

Investments primarily consist of unitized interests in investment pools managed by a Church affiliate (Note 7). Investments as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	2018		2018			2017
Investments at fair value:						
Cash equivalents	\$	424	\$	392		
Equity portfolios, domestic		143,373		154,822		
Equity portfolios, international		16,448		17,202		
Fixed income portfolios, domestic		71,773		59,074		
Total investments at fair value		232,018	1	231,490		
Other investments carried at cost or estimated value at						
the date of donation:						
Partnership interests		13,390		13,390		
Real estate		142		652		
Other assets		28		38		
Total other investments	-	13,560	-	14,080		
Total investments	\$ 2	245,578	\$	245,570		

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, net investment income includes (in thousands of dollars):

	2018	2017		
Dividend and interest income	\$ 5,364	\$ 4,463		
Net gain (loss) on investments carried at fair value	(12,266)	28,913		
Total investment income (loss), net	\$ (6,902)	\$ 33,376		

(4) BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN TRUSTS

The University is named as a beneficiary or remainderman for a number of irrevocable charitable remainder trusts. Most of these trusts are administered by a Church affiliate (Note 7). Expected receipts from these trusts and unconditional promises to give (fair value determined using discount rates ranging from approximately 1.0 to 3.0 percent) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, are as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	2018		2017		
Less than one year	\$	466	\$	-	
One to five years		1,843		2,273	
More than five years		2,115	3 1	1,869	
Total beneficial interest in trusts	\$	4,424	\$	4,142	

(5) LAND, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, land, buildings and equipment included (in thousands of dollars):

	2018	2017
Land, buildings and improvements	\$ 294,713	\$ 301,904
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	18,504	40,473
Library books and other	10,637	13,160
Construction in progress	61,316	53,134
	385,170	408,671
Less accumulated depreciation	108,561	154,344
Total land, buildings and equipment, net	\$ 276,609	\$ 254,327

During the year ended December 31, 2018, fully-depreciated assets and the related accumulated depreciation of \$58,000 were removed from buildings and equipment.

(6) LOANS AND NOTES PAYABLE TO A CHURCH AFFILIATE

Loans and notes payable consist of unsecured loans extended by a Church affiliate (Note 7) to the University for the acquisition of housing-related facilities and other projects. These loans bear interest at rates ranging from 2 to 3 percent. Maturity dates of all current notes range from 2055 to 2058.

The amounts of future principal payments as of December 31, 2018 are (in thousands of dollars):

Years ending December 31,	
2019	2,442
2020	2,492
2021	2,542
2022	2,594
2023	2,647
2024 and thereafter	129,452
Total loans and notes payable to a Church affiliate	\$ 142,169

(7) RELATED PARTIES

The University operates under the direction of a Board of Trustees (the Board) composed of General Authorities and other officers of the Church. The University is provided certain accounting and other management services and is also included in the property and liability insurance programs of Church affiliates, all at no cost to the University.

The following table reflects balances due to and from or administered through Church affiliates, and activities with or processed by Church affiliates, included in the financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars):

	2018	2017
Cash	\$ 1,989	\$ 3,463
Accounts receivable	3,059	5,551
Investments	232,018	231,670
Beneficial interest in trusts	4,424	4,142
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	4,458	2,147
Annuities payable	1,259	1,338
Retirement and postretirement benefits obligation	21,702	27,407
Loans and notes payable	142,169	131,701
Appropriations:		
Operations	56,311	51,202
Other appropriations	9,327	8,021
Capital expenditures	15,595	42,428
Contributions processed from donors	18,794	13,048
Net investment income (loss)	(6,902)	33,376
Auxiliary enterprises and other revenue	2,784	2,102
Expenses:		
Benefit plans	8,055	14,907
Products and services	27,909	13,469
Interest	1,193	989

(8) ENDOWMENTS

The University's endowment fund consists of individual donor restricted endowment funds and funds designated by the Board to function as endowments. The net assets associated with endowment funds, including those funds designated by the Board to function as endowments, are classified and reported based on the existence or absence of donor imposed restrictions.

The Board has interpreted the adopted Hawaii "Uniform Prudent Management of Institutional Funds Act" (UPMIFA) as requiring the preservation of the fair value of the original gift as of the gift date of the donor-restricted endowment funds absent explicit donor stipulations to the contrary. As a result of this interpretation, the University classifies as net assets with donor restrictions, (a) the original value of gifts donated to the permanent endowment, (b) the original value of subsequent gifts to the permanent endowment, and (c) accumulations to the permanent endowment made in accordance with the direction of the applicable donor gift instrument at the time the accumulation is added to the fund. The remaining portion of the donor-restricted endowment fund that is not classified as permanently endowed is also classified as net assets with donor restrictions until those amounts are appropriated for expenditure by the University in a manner consistent with the standard of prudence prescribed by UPMIFA. In accordance with UPMIFA, the University considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate endowment funds:

- The duration and preservation of the fund
- The purposes of the University and the donor restricted endowment fund
- General economic conditions
- The possible effect of inflation and deflation
- The expected total return from income and appreciation of investments
- Other resources of the University
- The investment policies of the University

Where the Board designates funds without donor restrictions to function as endowments (board-designated endowments) they remain classified as net assets without donor restrictions. Where the Board designates donor restricted non-endowment funds to function as endowments they are classified as net assets with donor restrictions. Board-designated endowments are used to fund management priorities as approved by the Board.

The following tables present the University's endowment net asset changes, for the indicated years (in thousands of dollars):

Changes in Endowment Net Assets for the year ended December 31, 2018

	Net A Without Restric	Donor	Wi	et Assets th Donor strictions		Total
Endowment net assets, beginning of year	\$	2	\$	44,184	\$	44,184
Investment income, net		-		-		-
Contributions and other revenue		-		3,874		3,874
Endowment net assets, end of year	\$		\$	48,058	\$	48,058
	-		-		-	

Changes in Endowment Net Assets for the year ended December 31, 2017

	Net As Without		et Assets th Donor	
	Restrictions		strictions	Total
Endowment net assets, beginning of year	\$	-	\$ 40,377	\$ 40,377
Investment income, net		*		-
Contributions and other revenue		2	3,807	3,807
Endowment net assets, end of year	\$	ď.	\$ 44,184	\$ 44,184

Description of Amounts Classified as Net Assets With Donor Restrictions (Endowment Only)

Net Assets With Donor Restrictions	0	2018	 2017
The portion of perpetual endowment funds that is required to be retained permanently either by explicit donor stipulation or UPMIFA	_\$_	48,058_	\$ 44,184
Total endowment funds classified as net assets with donor restrictions	\$	48,058	\$ 44,184

Endowment Funds with Deficits

From time to time, the fair value of assets associated with individual donor endowment funds may fall below the value of the initial and subsequent donor gift amounts (deficit). When donor endowment deficits exist, they are classified as a reduction of net assets with donor restrictions.

Return Objectives and Risk Parameters

The University has adopted endowment investment and spending policies that attempt to provide a predictable stream of funding to programs supported by its endowment while seeking to maintain the purchasing power of endowment assets. Under this policy, endowment assets are invested in a manner that is intended to yield a long-term rate of return of approximately 6.0 percent annually, while assuming a moderate level of investment risk. Actual returns in any given year may vary from this amount.

Strategies Employed for Achieving Investment Objectives

To achieve its long-term rate of return objectives, the University relies on a total return strategy in which investment returns are achieved through both capital appreciation (realized and unrealized gains) and current yield (interest and dividends). The University targets a diversified asset allocation that places greater emphasis on equity-based investments to achieve its long-term objectives within prudent risk constraints.

Relationship of Spending Policy to Investment Objectives

The University's Investment Committee (the Committee) determines the method to be used to appropriate endowment funds for expenditure. The appropriation amount is determined as of the end of the year, one year prior to when it becomes available for expenditure, and is equal to 4.0 percent of the preceding five years' average ending fair value. In establishing this method, the Committee considered the expected long-term rate of return on the investment of the University's endowment funds. Accordingly, over the long-term, the University expects the current spending policy to allow its endowment to grow at an average of 2.0 percent annually, consistent with its intention to maintain the purchasing power of the endowment assets as well as to provide additional real growth through new gifts. Depending upon market conditions and the needs and available resources of the University, appropriations for expenditure from individual endowments may be temporarily suspended to facilitate preservation of the endowment.

(9) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AT FAIR VALUE

Certain financial instruments of the University are reported at fair value and are either categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the nature of the inputs to the valuation technique, or presented as investments measured at net asset value per share as discussed in Note 1. The following tables present these financial instruments as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars):

		Fair Va	lue Mea	surements	s as of	December 3	31, 201	18
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total	
Cash equivalents	\$	424	\$	-	\$	+	\$	424
Investments measured at net asset								
value per share		N/A		N/A		N/A		231,594
Total Investments		424		(*)		.=		232,018
Beneficial interest in trusts		-		*		4,424		4,424
Total assets carried at fair value	\$	424	\$	-	\$	4,424	\$	236,442

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2017								
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total		
Cash equivalents	\$	392	\$	71	\$	-	\$	392	
Investments measured at net asset									
value per share		N/A		N/A		N/A		231,098	
Total Investments		392		14		+		231,490	
Beneficial interest in trusts		-		= =		4,142		4,142	
Total assets carried at fair value	\$	392	\$	-	\$	4,142	\$	235,632	

The following tables summarize the changes in Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars):

	Fair Value Measurements							
	Using Significant Unobservable Inp							
		2018		2017				
Level 3 financial instruments, beginning of year	\$	4,142	\$	5,994				
Total gains (losses)		282		(1,784)				
Purchases								
Settlements				(68)				
Transfers into (out of) Level 3		- L		- 16				
Level 3 financial instruments, end of year	\$	4,424	\$	4,142				

The fair value of the beneficial interests in trusts is based upon an income approach. Significant inputs include the fair value of the trust assets, the discount rate, the annual amounts paid to the donor, and the estimated date of payout (Note 4).

Investments measured at net asset value per share consist of unitized interests in investment pools managed by a Church affiliate which are valued at fair value based on the net asset value per share (practical expedient). Investments valued using the practical expedient are not required to be categorized within the fair value hierarchy. The University has no unfunded commitments, and may redeem these investments on a monthly basis, at the month-end net asset value, with a minimum notice period of 5 business days. The following table presents the fair value of these investments, as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars):

	Fair Value Measurements of Investments Measured at Net Asset Value Per Share						
,		2018	-	2017			
Equity portfolios, domestic	\$	143,373	\$	154,822			
Equity portfolios, international		16,448		17,202			
Fixed income portfolios, domestic		71,773		- 59,074			
Total investments measured at net asset value per share	\$	231,594	\$	231,098			

Domestic and international equity portfolios consist of funds that invest in the common stock of either large, mid, or small cap companies and are generally designed to either replicate the performance of an index or outperform an index through active security selection. Fixed income portfolios consist of funds that invest in either U.S. government or corporate debt securities, each with a targeted duration.

(10) RETIREMENT AND POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

The University is a participating employer within the Master Retirement Plan administered by a Church affiliate (Note 7). The retirement plan is a noncontributory defined benefit plan that covers substantially all full-time employees of the University.

The University is also a participating employer within the Retiree Medical and Life Plan, administered by a Church affiliate. Through this plan, the University provides a postretirement benefit plan that provides a fixed monthly dollar benefit toward the purchase of medical and life insurance for retired employees. Participating retirees pay the difference between the fixed amounts and the total premium rates. The University recognizes the estimated cost of these postretirement benefits during the years employees provide service. At the time it adopted this method, the University elected to recognize the cumulative effect of the postretirement benefit obligation over a period of twenty years.

Retirement and postretirement plan information presented below represents the University's proportionate share of the Master Retirement Plan and the Retiree Medical and Life Plan (the Plans), respectively.

The following table shows the funding status and the related amounts recognized in the Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2018 and 2017:

The accumulated benefit obligation for the retirement plan was \$135,745,797 and \$141,125,930 at 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The following table provides information relating to the retirement and postretirement plans as of and for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	 Retirem	n		Postretire	ment Plan		
	2018		2017		2018	2017	
Fair value of plan assets	\$ 131,704	\$	134,139	\$	10,948	\$	11,349
Benefit obligation	(148,408)		(156,326)	_	(15,947)		(16,569)
Amount under funded	\$ (16,704)	\$	(22,187)	\$	(4,999)	\$	(5,220)

	Retirement Plan				Postretirement Plan			
	2018		2017		2018		2	017
Selected changes in plan assets:								
Employer contributions	\$	5,000	\$	6,430	\$	383	\$	862
Plan participants' contributions		N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A
Benefits paid	\$	5,776	\$	5,697	\$	559	\$	531
	**		-					

Accumulated amounts reflected in the	Retirem	ent Pla	n	Postretirement Plan			
Statements of Financial Position	2018 2017			2018	2017		
Net loss	\$ 23,380	\$	28,884	\$	2,325	\$	2,827
Prior service cost	255		304		352		411
Amount in net assets without donor restriction	23,635	di-	29,188		2,677		3,238
Accrued (prepaid)							
retirement/postretirement cost	(6,931)		(7,001)		2,322		1,982
Retirement/postretirement (asset) liability	\$ 16,704	\$	22,187	\$	4,999	\$	5,220

Periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in net assets without donor restriction reflected in the Statements of		Retirem	ent Plar	1	Postretirement Plan					
Activities		2018		2017 2018				2017		
Benefit cost	\$	5,070	\$	4,673	\$	722	\$	551		
Net (gain) loss		(5,504)		1,068		(501)		1,340		
Prior service cost		(49)		(49)		(59)		(59)		
Other amounts recognized in net assets without donor restriction	_	(5,553)		1,019		(560)		1,281		
Total benefit cost and other amounts recognized in net assets without donor restriction	\$	(483)	\$	5,692	\$	162	\$	1,832		

The estimated prior service cost and net loss for the retirement plan that will be amortized from net assets without donor restriction into net periodic benefit cost in 2019 are \$31,799 and \$573,225, respectively. The estimated prior service cost, and net loss for the postretirement plan that will be amortized from net assets without donor restriction into net periodic benefit cost in 2019 are \$58,673 and \$35,658, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, the following weighted-average assumptions were used in accounting for the Plans:

	Retireme	nt Plan	Postretirer	ement Plan		
	2018 20		2018	2017		
Benefit obligation						
Discount rate	4.1%	3.5%	4.1%	3.5%		
Rate of compensation increase	3.73%	3.8%	N/A	N/A		
Net periodic benefit cost						
Discound rate	3.5%	4.0%	3.5%	4.0%		
Expected return on plan assets	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%		
Rate of compensation increase	3.73%	3.77%	N/A	N/A		

Postretirement benefits are fixed dollar amounts which might be adjusted in the future. For December 31, 2018 valuations, a 7.2% percent (6.0% for Medicare supplement) annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2019; the rate was assumed to decrease gradually going forward to 5.7% (6.2% for Medicare supplement) for 2028. It will continue to decrease until it reaches its ultimate level in 2075 at 3.8% (3.8% for Medicare supplement). For December 31, 2017 valuations, a 7.3% percent (6.0% for Medicare supplement) annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2018; the rate was assumed to decrease gradually going forward to 5.7% (6.2% for Medicare supplement) for 2027. It will continue to decrease until it reaches its ultimate level in 2075 at 3.8% (3.8% for Medicare supplement).

By way of investment policy, the Plans have established long-term strategic asset allocation targets and ranges, as shown below.

For 2018 and 2017, the 5.0% expected long-term return assumption on plan assets, shown below, was based primarily on forecasted returns for the next ten years by asset category, weighted by the asset allocation target.

The Plans set long-term strategic asset allocation target ranges and then use tactical asset allocation and active management with the intent of providing additional return. The investment policies have been designed to provide for the potential of reasonable long-term performance, yet control the magnitude of risk by setting policy ranges for asset allocation, domestic equity capitalization weighting, domestic equity active management exposure, derivatives exposure, and fixed-income quality.

The financial instruments of the Plans are reported at fair value and are categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the nature of the inputs to the valuation technique, or presented as Investments measured at net asset value per share, as described in Note 1.

	Asset A	llocation	Expected	d Return
Asset category:				Category
Asset category.	Target	Range	Weighting	Return
Domestic equity securities	20%	10-30%	20%	5.25%
International equity securities	10%	5-20%	10%	5.75%
Fixed Income	25%	15-40%	25%	1.83%
Real estate	10%	5-20%	10%	6.67%
Private Equities	15%	5-20%	15%	7.42%
Non-Investment Grade Debt	10%	0-15%	20%	4.67%
Hybrid Equities	10%	0-20%	20%	4.67%
Value from active management				0.23%
Weighted-average return				5.00%

The tables below present the fair value of the total Master Retirement Plan assets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars), of which the University's share was 2.22% and 2.23% as of the respective dates.

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2018

		evel 1	Level 2	1	Level 3		Total
Cash and cash equivalents, net of accruals	\$	66,552	\$ #	\$	¥ .	\$	66,552
Investments:							
U.S. government securities		∺	212,069		8		212,069
Corporate debt securities, domestic		2	327,647		23,317		350,964
Corporate debt securities, international		Ħ	14,530		ĕ		14,530
Mutual funds	1	,720,617	2		ē		1,720,617
Common stocks, domestic		625,135			=		625,135
Common stocks, international		303,541	÷.		2,042		305,583
Preferred stocks		10,710	5		2,849		13,559
Government agency mortgage-backed securities		*	233,311		58		233,369
Private mortgage-backed securities		2	127,193		4,122		131,315
Derivatives		1	70		€		71
Investments measured at net asset value per share		N/A	 N/A		N/A		2,251,429
Net Master Retirement Plan assets	\$ 2	,726,556	\$ 914,820	\$	32,388	\$:	5,925,193

Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2017

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Cash and cash equivalents, net of accruals	\$ 69,562	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,562
Investments:				
U.S. government securities	¥	200,759		200,759
Corporate debt securities, domestic	196	393,055	7,312	400,367
Corporate debt securities, international	-	12,596	=	12,596
Mutual funds	1,674,466	₹.	1	1,674,466
Common stocks, domestic	762,067	=	-	762,067
Common stocks, international	577,406	-	4,511	581,917
Preferred stocks	12,976	-	2,821	15,797
Government agency mortgage-backed securities	0.24	206,026	94	206,120
Private mortgage-backed securities	S#3	144,949	7,983	152,932
Derivatives	(A)	20	(P)	20
Investments measured at net asset value per share	N/A_	N/A	N/A	1,936,552
Net Master Retirement Plan assets	\$ 3,096,477	\$ 957,405	\$ 22,721	\$ 6,013,155

The following tables provide a summary of changes in fair value of the Level 3 Master Retirement Plan assets for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017:

	2018 Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs											
	C	orporate				Govl. Agency			Private			
		Debt	Common				Mo	rtgage-	Mortgage- backed			
	Se	curities,	5	Stocks.		referred	ba	cked				
	D	omestic	International		Stocks		Securities		Securities			
Level 3 investments, beginning of year	\$	7,312	\$	4,511	\$	2,821	\$	94	\$	7,983	Table	
Actual return on plan assets:		20		1. 2000		20		. 20			continued	
Relating to assets still held as of end of year		58		(1,030)		28		(4)		(13)	below	
Relating to assets sold during the year		(443)		437		15		(32)		(42)		
Purchases		22,700		-		Ve:		(F)		-		
Sales/maturities		(6,310)		(1,876)						(3,806)		
Transfers into (out of) Level 3				(e)	-			•	-	*		
Level 3 investments, end of year	\$	23,317	\$	2,042	\$	2,849	\$	58	\$	4,122		

	Deriv	atives	Total		
Level 3 investments, beginning of year Actual return on plan assets:	\$	(2)	\$	22,721	
Relating to assets still held as of end of year				(961)	
Relating to assets sold during the year		*		(80)	
Purchases		-		22,700	
Sales/maturities		223		(11,992)	
Transfers into (out of) Level 3	Ş-	*			
Level 3 investments, end of year	\$		\$	32,388	

	2017 Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs											
	C	orporate					Govt	Agency	I	Private		
		Debt		ommon			Mo	rtgage-	Mortgage-			
	Se	ecurities,	5	Stocks,	P	referred	ba	acked	backed			
	Domestic		International		Stocks		Securities		Securities			
Level 3 investments, beginning of year	\$	12,780	\$	4,576	\$	3,870	S	8	\$	6,758	Table	
Actual return on plan assets:											continued	
Relating to assets still held as of end of year		21		891		(1,049)		8		(47)	below	
Relating to assets sold during the year		103		55		=		(46)		119		
Purchases		7,507		6		54		-		3,243		
Sales/maturities		(13,099)		(404)		19				(373)		
Transfers into (out of) Level 3		:=		(607)				132	_	(1,717)		
Level 3 investments, end of year	\$	7,312	\$	4,511	\$	2,821	\$	94	\$	7,983		

	Deri	vatives	Total		
Level 3 investments, beginning of year Actual return on plan assets:	\$	7	\$	27,991	
Relating to assets still held as of end of year		15		(176)	
Relating to assets sold during the year		22		231	
Purchases		-		10,750	
Sales/maturities		- 5		(13,876)	
Transfers into (out of) Level 3	0	(7)	_	(2,199)	
Level 3 investments, end of year	\$	3	\$	22,721	

The tables below present the fair value of the Retiree Medical and Life Plan assets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands of dollars), of which the University's share was 1.97% and 1.98% as of the respective dates.

_]	Fair Value	Meas	urements a	s of D	eceembe	r 31,	2018
	Level 1		1	Level 2		evel 3		<u>Total</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, net of accruals	\$	32,349	\$	F	\$	9	\$	32,349
Investments:								
US government securities	\$	3877	\$	12,630	\$	=	\$	12,630
Corporate debt securities, domestic		*		26,196		¥		26,196
Corporate debt securities, international				1,281		8		1,281
Mutual funds		273,975		æ		*		273,975
Common stocks, domestic		40,451		ន្ន		w w		40,451
Common stocks, international		328		ä		=		3.
Preferred stocks		846		9		#		846
Government agency mortgage-backed securities		5 0		244		7.		244
Derivatives		(90)		9		-		9
Investments measured at net asset value per share	-	N/A	-	N/A		N/A	18	168,752
Net Retiree Medical and Life Plan assets	\$	347,621	\$	40,360	\$	2:	_\$_	556,733

	F	air Value	Meas	urements a	s of D	eceembe	r 31,	2017
		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		<u>Total</u>
Cash and cash equivalents, net of accruals	\$	9,555	\$	(3 4 6	\$	·	\$	9,555
Investments:								
US government securities	\$	-	\$	12,299	\$		\$	12,299
Corporate debt securities, domestic		(/ = :		32,824		-		32,824
Corporate debt securities, international		(42)		1,287		-		1,287
Mutual funds	3	04,240		∂ # 2		3 4 3		304,240
Common stocks, domestic		44,386		3		*		44,386
Common stocks, international		210				200		210
Preferred stocks		889		-		Set		889
Government agency mortgage-backed securities				247		175		247
Derivatives		*		2				2
Investments measured at net asset value per share	*	N/A		N/A		N/A		166,760
Net Retiree Medical and Life Plan assets	\$ 3	59,280	\$	46,659	\$	E .	_\$_	572,699

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of the Level 3 Retiree Medical and Life Plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2017 (in thousands of dollars). There is no such table for 2018, as there were no Level 3 assets as of December 31, 2018 or 2017.

December 31, 2017, Fair Value Measurements Using

	Significant Unobservable Inputs										
	D	porate Debt urities	Deri	vatives	Total						
Level 3 investments, beginning of year	\$	34	\$	1	\$	35					
Actual return on plan assets:											
Relating to assets still held as of end of year		*		*		-					
Relating to assets sold during the year		-				8					
Purchases		4		4		2					
Sales/Maturities		15		JE.		Ħ.					
Transfers into (out of) Level 3		(34)		(1)	_	(35)					
Level 3 investments, end of year	\$		\$	- i	\$	•					

The University expects to contribute \$5,500,000 to its retirement plan and \$447,800 to its postretirement plan during the year ending December 31, 2019.

As of December 31, 2018, the following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid:

	Retirement Plan		Postreti	rement Plan
Year ending Decemberm 31,				
2019	\$	6,304	\$	538
2020		6,775		605
2021		7,196		673
2022		7,623		716
2023		7,966		718
2024-2028		43,965		4,207

The University also participates in supplementary defined contribution retirement plans. The plans are qualified retirement savings money purchase 401(a), 401(k), or Tax Sheltered Annuity 403(b) plans. The plans cover substantially all full-time employees. These plans are provided through TIAA and a Church affiliate. Employees can contribute a portion of their salaries, not to exceed Internal Revenue Service limits, in qualified programs offered through these companies. For included classes of employees, the University contributes a percentage of employee salary to the Church affiliate, which during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, totaled \$1,656,850 and \$1,541,911, respectively.

(11) NATURAL CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES

The University presents expenses on its Statements of Activities by functional classification. Its primary function is to provide Education services, including instruction and academic support, research and creative work, and student support. Other functions include Auxiliary, and Administration, which support the University's primary mission. Each functional classification includes expenses presented below by natural classification. Operation and maintenance costs are allocated to functional categories primarily on the basis of occupied square footage. The following table presents these expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 (in thousands of dollars):

	Education	Ac	dministration		Auxiliary	Total
Salaries	\$ 30,905	\$	20,531	\$	7,072	\$ 58,508
Benefits	7,102		6,418	-	3,402	16,922
Total compensation	38,007		26,949		10,474	75,430
General and administrative	3,247		1,532		3,990	8,769
Physical facilities	3,171		1,685		3,964	8,820
Equipment	958		1,663		1,698	4,319
Travel	1,478		174		111	1,763
Materials and supplies	1,470		310		1,502	3,282
Depreciation	5,277		1,034		4,654	10,965
Cost of sales	=		7.5		4,368	4,368
Interest	 <u>#</u>			-	1,193	 1,193
Total Expenses	\$ 53,608	\$	33,347	\$	31,954	\$ 118,909

(12) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The University has commitments for construction projects totaling \$9,325,878 at December 31, 2018. Essentially all of this amount has been approved to be reimbursed by a Church affiliate (Note 7) and is expected to be received as costs are expended.

The University has been named as a defendant in certain lawsuits. Other potential claims are pending. While the University cannot predict the results of these actions, management believes, based in part on the advice of in-house legal counsel, that any contingent liability resulting from such litigation and claims will not have a material effect on the University operations or financial position. Financial responsibility for liability losses is assumed by a Church affiliate.

(13) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The University has evaluated subsequent events for the period after December 31, 2018 through May 2, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. No events have been identified that would have a material effect on the financial statements.

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY – HAWAII SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS for the year ended December 31, 2018

Federal Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures		
Student Financial Assistance Cluster: US Department of Education				
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063	\$	3,881,644	
Teacher Education Assistance for College and Higher Education Grants	84.379		25,224	
Direct Loans (includes Stafford Loans and PLUS Loans)	84.268		2,173,628	
Total Student Financial Assistance Cluster			6,080,496	
Total Federal Financial Assistance		\$	6,080,496	

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "schedule") for the year ended December 31, 2018 includes the federal financial assistance transactions of Brigham Young University – Hawaii (the "School") recorded on the accrual basis of accounting. Funds derived from federal grants may be used only to meet current expenditures for the purposes specifically identified by the sponsoring agencies. The information in the schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Uniform Guidance. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements. Indirect costs are not charged to the Student Financial Assistance program.

2. LOAN PROGRAMS:

The School issued loans under the Federal Direct Student Loans Program, which includes Direct Subsidized Loans, Direct Unsubsidized Loans, and Direct PLUS Loans which are included in the schedule.

The School is responsible only for the performance of certain administrative duties with respect to the Federal Direct Loan programs and accordingly, these loans are not included in the School's financial statements. It is not practical to determine the balance of loans outstanding under these programs at December 31, 2018.



Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Board of Trustees of Brigham Young University – Hawaii:

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Brigham Young University – Hawaii ("the School"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of December 31, 2018, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 2, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the School's internal control over financial reporting ("internal control") to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the School's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.



Purpose of this Report

Pricewaterhouse Copers UP

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

May 2, 2019



Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance

To the Board of Trustees of Brigham Young University – Hawaii:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Brigham Young University - Hawaii's ("the School") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the School's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2018. The School's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the School's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the School's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the School's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Brigham Young University - Hawaii complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2018-001 and 2018-002. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.



The School's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Management's Views and Corrective Action Plan. The School's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the School is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the School's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

May 2, 2019

Pricenaturhouse Coopers UP

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

for the year ended December 31, 2018

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

Internal control over financial reporting:						
Material weaknesses identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no				
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	yes	X_none reported				
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes	<u>X</u> no				
Federal Awards Internal control over major programs:						
Material weaknesses identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no				
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	yes	X_none reported				
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified						
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516 (a)?	e <u>X</u> yes	no				
Identification of major programs:						
CFDA Number:	Name of Fede	Name of Federal Program or Cluster				
84.063, 84.379, 84.268	Student Financial Assistance Cluster					
Oollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000						

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

X yes

no

No findings related to the financial statements were noted which are required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* for the year ended December 31, 2018.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

for the year ended December 31, 2018

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

2018-001 – Noncompliance with Enrollment Reporting Requirements Student Financial Assistance Cluster: Department of Education Federal Pell Grant Program (84.063) and Direct Loan Program (84.268)

Criteria:

In accordance with 34 CFR section 682.610 (c), the School is required to have an arrangement in place to report changes in a student's enrollment status and the effective date of the change to the National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) within 45 days of the end of the semester.

Condition:

Out of 25 students with an enrollment status change that were selected for enrollment reporting testing, the status changes for 6 students were not submitted to the NSLDS within the required timeframe. These 6 students graduated during the semester ended June 30, 2018 and the enrollment status report was submitted on October 25, 2018 (117 days later). In addition, upon further investigation, the enrollment status report for all students who graduated during the semester ended June 30, 2018 was submitted on October 25, 2018.

Questioned Costs:

There were no questioned costs associated with this finding.

Causes

The School staff person responsible for the submission of the report was on vacation at the time the report would normally be submitted.

Effect:

Late reporting of the enrollment status changes resulted in noncompliance with the *Code of Federal Regulations*. Students who have graduated are not eligible for additional Federal assistance subsequent to their graduation. Therefore, this could result in the awarding of Federal assistance to an ineligible student.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the School implement procedures and processes to ensure that timely reporting of the enrollment status changes is submitted to the NSLDS within 45 days of the end of the semester.

Management's Views and Corrective Action Plan:

Refer to page 36 for management's views and corrective action plan for the finding described above.

2018-002 – Noncompliance with Verification Requirements Student Financial Assistance Cluster: Department of Education Federal Pell Grant Program (84.063) and Direct Loan Program (84.268)

Criteria:

In accordance with 34 CFR section 668.54, an institution must require an applicant whose Free Application for Federal Aid (FAFSA) information is selected for verification by the Department of Education (ED) to verify the information specified by the ED. An institution's procedures must provide that an applicant whose FAFSA information is selected for verification is required to complete verification before the institution makes changes to the applicant's cost of attendance or to the values of the data items required to calculate the expected family contribution, which will determine assistance awarded. Section 668.58 states that an institution may not disburse Pell Grant or loan funds until information on the student's FAFSA is verified and any corrections are made.

Condition:

In performing a test of compliance with Federal regulations, we selected a sample of 40 students that were selected for verification by the ED and for which verification procedures had been indicated as completed by the School in the ED system and related Federal funds were disbursed. We identified 16 instances in which information on the students' FAFSAs did not match supporting documentation provided to the School by the students. Additionally, there were 2 instances in which the required supporting documentation was not obtained by the School from the students as part of the verification process.

In performing a test of controls for the secondary review of the verification, we selected a sample of 25 students that were selected for verification by the ED and for which verification procedures had been indicated as completed by the School in the ED system and related Federal funds were disbursed. We identified 10 instances in which the School's controls over verification did not operate effectively. In these 10 instances, a financial assistance supervisor did not perform a review of those verifications prior to the submission of the verification results to the ED or disbursing funds to the students.

Ouestioned Costs:

The errors identified above resulted in 3 students that were over-awarded funds totaling \$2,350 and 4 students that were under-awarded funds totaling \$1,000.

Cause:

The noncompliance was the result of a lack of training of the financial assistance counselors performing verifications and the lack of a detailed secondary review of verifications by a financial assistance supervisor.

Effect:

This resulted in noncompliance with the *Code of Federal Regulations*. The erroneous calculations identified caused an incorrect amount of Federal assistance awarded to students as identified above.

Recommendation:

We recommend that the School reexamine its training program surrounding the Uniform Guidance requirements for student financial assistance to ensure all current and new employees are properly trained to perform verification procedures and the related internal control activities. In addition, we recommend that the School implement adequate procedures and processes to ensure the accuracy of the verification process and control reviews.

Management's Views and Corrective Action Plan:

Refer to page 37 for management's views and corrective action plan for the finding described above.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

for the year ended December 31, 2018

There were no prior year findings or questioned costs related to Federal Awards for the year ended December 31, 2017.



2018-001 – Noncompliance with Enrollment Reporting Requirements BYU-Hawaii Financial Aid Management Review and Corrective Plan

Management agrees with the audit finding and recommendation that the University implement procedures to ensure compliance with the applicable Enrollment Reporting Requirements.

Corrective Action Plan:

At BYU-Hawaii, responsibility for timely enrollment reporting to NSLDS is assigned to the Registrar's Office.

The BYU-H Financial Aid Department (Financial Aid) will work with the Registrar's Office to help ensure that the 45 day federal reporting regulation is met by sending reminder emails to the Registrar's Office at the beginning, middle, and ending of each semester.

Financial Aid will request Clearing House confirmations that reporting requirements have been met. These confirmations will then be filed digitally in our system for retrieval in the future. The Registrar's Office understands and has agreed to this new process.

Timing of Implementation – This set of procedures has commenced effective May 1, 2019.

Mamoe Sanerivi, Manager of Financial Aid



May 2, 2019

2018-002 - Noncompliance with Verification Requirements

BYU-Hawaii Financial Aid Management Review and Corrective Action Plan

Management agrees with the audit finding and recommendation that the University implement adequate training and review procedures to ensure compliance with applicable FAFSA verification rules as set forth by the Department of Education.

Corrective Action Plan:

Through the period under audit, BYU-Hawaii has relied on a federal aid disbursement review after disbursement of federal aid funds. This method of review has proven to be inadequate as assurance that all FAFSA verification requirements have been met.

Review Procedures

In order to ensure proper review, new review procedures have been implemented that require a federal aid supervisor to review all documents at three points during the verification process as follows:

<u>First Review</u> – the first supervisory review is performed before correction files are submitted to CPS.

<u>Second Review</u> – the supervisor reviews the corrected files received from CPS (and ensures that appropriate corrective action is understood and performed.)

<u>Third Review</u> – the final supervisory review takes place after the disbursement of funds (to ensure corrective action was properly followed.)

Timing of Review Procedures Implementation

The above-described review procedures are effective May 1, 2019 and are ongoing.

Training Procedures

Continuous training will be provided through webinars, PacFAA, WASFAA, and FSA participation for all counselors. As a means of ensuring adequate training at proper intervals, a permanent training log will be maintained by the Financial Aid department manager.

Timing of Training Procedure Implementation

Financial Aid will implement by June 1, 2019 a training plan that will include name of staff position, needed training (including intervals (i.e. annual, bi-annual, etc.)), the expected start date and verification of completion.

Mamoe Sanerivi, Manager of Financial Aid